

Decision No: CMM – 1

Forward Plan No: ASC 27455

This record relates to Agenda Item 50 on the agenda for the Decision-Making

RECORD OF CABINET MEMBER DECISION

DECISION-MAKER:	COUNCILLOR ROB JARRETT
PORTFOLIO AREA:	ADULT SOCIAL CARE & HEALTH
SUBJECT:	JOINT COMMISSIONING STRATEGY FOR ADULTS WITH AUTISTIC SPECTRUM CONDITIONS (ASC) 2012-2015
AUTHOR:	DIANA BERNHARDT

THE DECISION

- (1) That the contents of the strategy (Appendix 1) and its proposed strategic objectives, actions and outcomes be noted.
- (2) That the attached strategy and proposed actions be agreed.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

- (1) This report is required to present for approval the local strategy for adults with Autistic Spectrum Conditions.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

- (1) None considered.

OTHER RELEVANT MATTERS CONCERNING THE DECISION

None

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

CONFIRMED AS A TRUE RECORD:

We certify that the decision this document records was made in accordance with the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2000 and is a true and accurate record of that decision

Date:

12 March 2012

Decision Maker:

Councillor Rob Jarrett
Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care &
Health

Signed:



Proper Officer:

12 March 2012

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Signed:



SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision.

Or: This decision is urgent and not subject to call-in (date of CE's agreement to urgency of decision).

Call-In Period

19 March 2012

Date of Call-in *(if applicable) (this suspends implementation)*

Call-in Procedure completed *(if applicable)*

Call-in heard by *(if applicable)*

Results of Call-in *(if applicable)*

Decision No: CMM – 2

Forward Plan No: ASC 25305

This record relates to Agenda Item 51 on the agenda for the Decision-Making

RECORD OF CABINET MEMBER DECISION

DECISION-MAKER: COUNCILLOR ROB JARRETT

PORTFOLIO AREA: ADULT SOCIAL CARE & HEALTH

SUBJECT: FEE LEVEL FOR ADULT SOCIAL CARE SERVICES 2011-12

AUTHOR: JANE MACDONALD

THE DECISION

- (1) That the recommended uplift as set out in the Table in paragraph 3.3 of the report be agreed.
- (2) That the recommendation for Brighton and Hove to match the applicable host authority set rates for new and existing care home placements out of the city be agreed.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

- (1) Independent and voluntary sector providers that supply care services on behalf of Brighton and Hove City Council Adult Social Care have seen a rise in costs in provision. This report reflects this and makes recommendations for uplifts accordingly.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

- (1) A range of different uplifts were modelled. Those recommended fit within the current Council budget and will provide those delivering services on behalf of the council with sufficient funds to remain robust

OTHER RELEVANT MATTERS CONCERNING THE DECISION

None

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

CONFIRMED AS A TRUE RECORD:

We certify that the decision this document records was made in accordance with the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2000 and is a true and accurate record of that decision

Date:

12 March 2012

Decision Maker:

Councillor Rob Jarrett
Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care &
Health

Signed:



Proper Officer:

12 March 2012

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Signed:



SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision.

Or: This decision is urgent and not subject to call-in (date of CE's agreement to urgency of decision).

**Call-In Period
19 March 2012**

Date of Call-in *(if applicable) (this suspends implementation)*

Call-in Procedure completed *(if applicable)*

Call-in heard by *(if applicable)*

Results of Call-in *(if applicable)*

Decision No: CMM – 3

Forward Plan No: ASC 27455

This record relates to Agenda Item 52 on the agenda for the Decision-Making

RECORD OF CABINET MEMBER DECISION

DECISION-MAKER: COUNCILLOR ROB JARRETT

PORTFOLIO AREA: ADULT SOCIAL CARE & HEALTH

SUBJECT: COMMISSIONING PLAN FOR COMMUNITY MEALS

AUTHOR: PHILIP LETCHFIELD

THE DECISION

- (1) That the principles proposed in paragraph 3.15, that will inform the commissioning planning, are approved.
- (2) That a further report is submitted to the June Cabinet Member Meeting or relevant committee meeting outlining the options considered and recommending a preferred model of service to be commissioned.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

- (1) The contract for this service is coming to an end and this is an opportunity to review and commission a service that is more in line with local priorities and the personalisation of services.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

- (1) This will form a key part of a future report which will focus on options and a preferred service model. At this stage the focus is upon agreeing the broad underlying principles.
- (6) It is not an option to simply continue with the existing service, at the very least a procurement exercise would be required even if we maintained the current model of service delivery. The current model of service delivery is not in line with local policy and priorities which are summarised through the broad commissioning principles.

OTHER RELEVANT MATTERS CONCERNING THE DECISION

None

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

CONFIRMED AS A TRUE RECORD:

We certify that the decision this document records was made in accordance with the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2000 and is a true and accurate record of that decision

Date:

12 March 2012

Decision Maker:

Councillor Rob Jarrett
Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care &
Health

Signed:



Proper Officer:

12 March 2012

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Signed:



SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision.

Or: This decision is urgent and not subject to call-in (date of CE's agreement to urgency of decision).

Call-In Period
19 March 2012

Date of Call-in (*if applicable*) (*this suspends implementation*)

Call-in Procedure completed (*if applicable*)

Call-in heard by (*if applicable*)

Results of Call-in (*if applicable*)